

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
EL PASO DIVISION**

LULAC, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREG ABBOTT, in his official capacity as
Governor of Texas, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:21-CV-00259-DCG-JES-JVB
[Lead Case]

ROY CHARLES BROOKS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREG ABBOTT, in his official capacity as
Governor of Texas, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.1-21-CV-00991-DCG-JES-JVB
[Consolidated Case]

**BROOKS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED DESIGNATION OF EXPERTS PURSUANT
TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)(2)(A)**

Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the Brooks Plaintiffs designate the following expert witnesses for the trial or any other evidentiary proceeding in this matter.

I.

Retained Expert Witnesses

1. Matt Barreto, Ph.D.
University of California, Los Angeles
3250 Public Affairs Building
Los Angeles, CA 90065
barretom@ucla.edu
909-489-2955
Dr. Barreto will testify regarding his report listed as Exhibit 44 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit List. He will also testify regarding the report and file materials served

contemporaneously herewith. His testimony and opinions offered at the Preliminary Injunction are incorporated herein.

2. Jeronimo Cortina, Ph.D.
The University of Houston
3551 Cullen Boulevard, Room 447
Houston, TX 77204-3011
jcortina@central.uh.edu
713-743-3894
Dr. Cortina will testify regarding his report listed as Exhibit 45 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit List. His testimony and opinions offered at the Preliminary Injunction are incorporated herein.

3. J. Morgan Kousser, Ph.D.
Caltech
Prof. of History and Social Science, Emeritus
1818 Craig Ave.
Altadena, CA 91001-3430
kousser@caltech.edu
626-395-4080
Dr. Kousser will testify regarding his report and file materials served contemporaneously herewith.

II.

Non-Retained Expert Witnesses

The Brooks Plaintiffs hereby designate and state that they intend to call for the purpose of fact and/or opinion testimony those persons listed in the witness disclosures of each party, as appropriate. Brooks Plaintiffs specifically give notice that they intend to rely on the expert testimony of other Plaintiffs groups. In order to streamline trial presentation and not present overly duplicative testimony, Brooks Plaintiffs will rely on expert opinions given by other experts called by other Plaintiffs and their counsel. The designation of experts, reports and expert disclosures served by other Plaintiffs are incorporated herein for all purposes. Plaintiffs make this designation without conceding the qualifications, relevancy, reliability or admissibility of such witness' opinions.

III.
Additional Designation

Plaintiffs hereby cross designate and state that Plaintiffs may call any expert witness identified or designated by any party or any employee or representative of any party, subject to any objections that Plaintiffs may make concerning the designation or qualifications of those witnesses.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to elicit by way of cross examination, opinion testimony from experts or representatives or other witnesses who may be qualified to render expert testimony designated and/or called by other parties to the suit.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to elicit by way or direction/cross examination, opinion testimony from fact witnesses who may be qualified to render testimony, but are not retained or designated experts at this time and who have expertise in certain areas regarding the facts of this case. Such non-retained expert witnesses may be employees of the State of Texas but they have not been employed for the purpose of providing expert testimony.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to elicit by way of direct/cross examination, opinion testimony for experts designated and/or called by other parties to the suit.

Plaintiffs reserve the right to supplement this designation as necessary and to solicit opinion testimony from such persons as appropriate during both discovery and trial.

Plaintiff designates and reserves the right to call any expert designated by Defendants or called by Defendants at hearing or trial.

IV.
Supplementation and Amendment Disclaimer

The Brooks Plaintiffs specifically reserve the right to supplement and/or amend these designations, including the expert reports provided with these designations to review, assess, and incorporate as necessary any discovery that becomes available after this designation. Defendants

have successfully delayed discovery in this matter on a number of fronts through unnecessarily obstructive and dilatory objections and motion practice, including, most recently, an interlocutory appeal of the Court's order denying their motion to quash depositions. All of the experts in this case for all of the Plaintiffs in this redistricting litigation would benefit from additional discovery, particularly deposition discovery and fulsome document production discovery. Defendants dilatory actions by necessity render the opinions offered by Plaintiffs' experts subject to supplementation and amendment.

May 20, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Chad W. Dunn
Chad W. Dunn (Tex. Bar No. 24036507)
Brazil & Dunn
4407 Bee Caves Road
Building 1, Ste. 111
Austin, TX 78746
(512) 717-9822
chad@brazilanddunn.com

Mark P. Gaber*
Mark P. Gaber PLLC
P.O. Box 34481
Washington, DC 20043
(715) 482-4066
mark@markgaber.com

Jesse Gaines* (Tex. Bar. No. 07570800)
P.O. Box 50093
Fort Worth, TX 76105
817-714-9988
gainesjesse@ymail.com

Molly E. Danahy*
P.O. Box 26277
Baltimore, MD 21211
(208) 301-1202
danahy.molly@gmail.com

Sonni Waknin*
10300 Venice Blvd. # 204

Culver City, CA 90232
732-610-1283
sonniwaknin@gmail.com

*Admitted *pro hac vice*

Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that all counsel of record were served a copy of the foregoing this 20th day of May, 2022, via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Chad W. Dunn
Chad W. Dunn